

1 Setting

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L & & \mathcal{O}(1) & & M = \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}(1) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{CP}^\infty & \xleftarrow[\pi_2]{\pi_1} & \mathbf{CP}^\infty \times \mathbf{CP}^\infty
 \end{array}$$

Objects:

X : a “nice space”

“nice” means paracompact and Hausdorff

L : a complex line bundle over X

$\mathcal{O}(1)$: the universal line bundle

f : a continuous map

Def: Given a cohomology theory E , the *first Chern class* of L with respect to E is $c_1^E(L) = f^*t$, with $t \in E^2(\mathbf{CP}^\infty)$.

Let's simplify some things.

Def: A *complex-oriented* cohomology theory E is one whose Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence $HP(X, E^q(\cdot)) \Rightarrow E^{p+q}(X)$ degenerates at the second page.

From here on out E is complex-oriented multiplicative, so $E^*(X \times Y) \cong E^*(X) \times E^*(Y)$.

For such E , $E^*(\mathbf{CP}^\infty) \cong E^*(\text{pt})[[t]]$ and $t \in E^2(\mathbf{CP}^\infty)$. Same t as above

We talk about the first Chern class because that's easier to compute than other Chern classes.

Q: What is $c_1^E(L \otimes L')$?

A: When E is singular cohomology, it is $c_1^E(L) + c_1^E(L')$. Not clear in general.

The bundle $L \otimes L'$ is over X . It suffices to consider it over $\mathbf{CP}^\infty \times \mathbf{CP}^\infty$. Set $M = \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}(1)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 E^*(\mathbf{CP}^\infty) &\cong E^*(\text{pt})[[t]] \\
 E^*(\mathbf{CP}^\infty \times \mathbf{CP}^\infty) &\cong E^*(\text{pt})[[u, v]] \\
 u &= \pi_1^* t & v &= \pi_2^* t
 \end{aligned}$$

So $c_1^E(M) = c_1^E(L \otimes L') = f(u, v) \in E^*(\text{pt})[[u, v]]$.

Q (refined): What is f ?

A (refined): When E is singular cohomology, $f(u, v) = u + v$. Not clear in general.

What do we know about f ? Related to the tensor product: so it has similar properties

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(u, v) &= f(v, u), \\
 f(u, 0) &= u, \\
 f(u, f(v, w)) &= f(f(u, v), w).
 \end{aligned}$$

Def: Let R be a commutative ring. Any $f \in R[[x, y]]$ that satisfies the properties above is called a *formal group law* (or *fgl*).

So every multiplicative complex-oriented cohomology theory determines a fgl over the ring $E^{\text{even}}(\cdot)$.

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{mult.} \\ \text{cpx-ori} \\ \text{cohomology} \\ \text{theory } E \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{always}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{sometimes}} \end{array} \text{FGL}$$

Doesn't always work the other way around.

Example: If $f(u, v) = u + v + uv$, then the associated cohomology theory is complex K -theory.

How do we come up with fgls? Take a step back to a larger ring.

Thm/Def: There exist:

- a commutative ring L , called the *Lazard ring*,
- a fgl $f \in L[[u, v]]$, called the *universal fgl*, such that

for any fgl over a commutative ring R , there is a ring homomorphism $L \rightarrow R$ that takes f to the given fgl.

The map φ induces a map on formal power series that takes f to the given fgl

We may write $f(x, y) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}x^i y^j$. Tensor product conditions give:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{ij} &= c_{ji} \\ c_{i0} &= \delta_{i1} \\ p_k(c_{ij}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Def: The *Lazard ring* is by $L := \mathbf{Z}[c_{ij}]/(p_k(c_{ij}))$ where the p_k include all the polynomial relations above

L has a grading: For $c_{ij} \in L$, set $\deg(c_{ij}) = 2(i + j - 1)$. This is chosen so f has degree -2 .

What have we learned so far?

choosing a fgl over R *is equiv to* choosing $\{c_{i,j}\} \subset R$ satisfying $p_k(c_{i,j}) = 0$
is equiv to choosing $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(L, R)$

This concludes the introduction. Let's get to the next part.

2 Lazard's theorem

Thm: (Lazard, 1955) $L \cong \mathbf{Z}[t_1, t_2, \dots]$, where $\deg(t_i) = 2i$.

To prove this, we need some tools and some lemmas.

Remark: Let $f \in R[[x, y]]$ be a fgl and $g = z + b_1z^2 + b_2z^3 + \dots \in R[[z]]$. Then $(g \circ f)(g^{-1}(x), g^{-1}(y))$ is a fgl over R .

Tensor laws (first two) satisfied easily. Inverse exists because constant term is 0 and linear coeff is 1.

Then $\tilde{g}(x, y) = g(g^{-1}(x) + g^{-1}(y))$ is an FGL over $\mathbf{Z}[b_i]$.

Let $\varphi : L \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[b_i]$ be the associated ring homomorphism.

$I(\subset L)$ = the ideal consisting of elements of positive degree of L

$J(\subset \mathbf{Z}[b_i])$ = the ideal generated by the b_i .

Lazard's isomorphism will be made on graded parts. Consider two new spaces:

$$I/I^2 \qquad (J/J^2)_{2n} \cong \mathbf{Z}$$

Both have an induced grading from their rings.

To prove the theorem, we need to prove some lemmas.

Lemma 1: For $n > 0$, there is an injection $(I/I^2)_{2n} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbf{Z}$. Moreover, $i((I/I^2)_{2n}) = \begin{cases} p\mathbf{Z} & \text{if } n+1=p^r, \\ \mathbf{Z} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$

Think of showing $(I/I^2)_{2n} \cong \mathbf{Z}$ as showing $\text{Hom}((I/I^2)_{2n}, M) \cong M$ for an abelian group M .

Compare functor corepresented by $(I/I^2)_{2n}$ to functor corepresented by L .

MORE

Lemma 2: There is a surjective map $\theta : \mathbf{Z}[t_i] \rightarrow L$, where $t_i \in L_{2n}$ is the lift of a generator of $(I/I^2)_{2n}$.

Done by showing $\theta_n : \mathbf{Z}[t_n] \rightarrow L_{2n}$ is surjective, by induction.

HOW INDUCTION WORKS

Lemma 3: The map θ is injective.

Instead show the composition $\mathbf{Z}[t_i] \rightarrow L \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[b_i]$ is injective.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Q}[t_i] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{Q}[b_i] \end{array}$$

Use above lemmas for rational part.

Since θ is a bijective ring homomorphism, it is a ring isomorphism.

WHY θ HOMOMORPHISM