Calculus

PROOFS

Winter 2010, Math 148

Contents

1. F	ive-Star Theorems	1
1.1.	Fundamental Theorem of Calculus I	1
1.2.	Fundamental Theorem of Calculus II	1
1.3.	Change of Variables Theorem	2
1.4.	Comparison Test for Series	2
1.5.	Limit Comparison Test for Series	3
1.6.	Integral Test	4
1.7.	Root Test	5
1.8.	Ratio Test	6
1.9.	Alternating Series Test	7
1.10.	Weierstrass M-test	8
1.11.	Banach Contractive Mapping theorem	9
2. Function Characteristics I		10
2.1.	Continuity implies integrability	10
2.2.	Bounded conditional integrability	10
2.3.	Absolute convergence implies convergence	11
3. F	function Characteristics II	12
3.1.	Power series radius of convergence	12
3.2.	Power series uniform convergence	12
3.3.	Uniform convergence and differentiation	13
3.4.	Uniform continuous convergence	14

© J. Lazovskis Professor: B. Forrest

1. FIVE-STAR THEOREMS

1.1. Fundamental Theorem of Calculus I. Assume that f(x) is integrable on [a, b]. Let $F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t) dt$, and let $c \in (a, b)$. If f(t) is continuous at t = c, then F(x) is differentiable at x = c, and F'(c) = f(c).

· Let $\epsilon > 0$.

- · Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|c x| < \delta$, then $|f(c) f(x)| < \epsilon$.
- · We may as \cdot Let $0 < |h| < \delta$. · Let $0 < |h| < \delta$. · Jor $\frac{F(c+h) F(c)}{h}$ • We may assume that $\delta < \min\{c - a, b - c\}$.

· Consider
$$\frac{F'(c - c)}{c}$$

$$= \frac{\int_{a}^{c+h} f(t) dt - \int_{a}^{c} f(t) dt}{h}$$
$$= \frac{\int_{a}^{c+h} f(t) dt + \int_{c}^{a} f(t) dt}{h}$$
$$= \frac{1}{h} \int_{c}^{c+h} f(t) dt$$

- · We know that if $t \in (c, c+h)$, then $|t-c| \leq |h| < \delta$
- · This implies that $f(c) \epsilon < f(t) < f(c) + \epsilon$

$$\text{Hence } f(c) - \epsilon < \frac{1}{h} \int_{c}^{c+h} f(t) \, dt < f(c) + \epsilon$$

$$\text{This implies that } \left| \frac{F(c+h) - F(c)}{h} - f(c) \right| < \epsilon$$

$$\text{Therefore } F'(c) = \lim_{h \to 0} \left[\frac{F(c+h) - F(c)}{h} \right] = f(c)$$

1.2. Fundamental Theorem of Calculus II. Assume that f(t) is continuous on an interval I containing a, b. Assume that F(t) is any antiderivative of f(t) on I. Then $F(b) - F(a) = \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt$.

• We may assume that a < b.

· Let
$$G(x) = \int_0^\infty f(t) dt$$
.

- Then by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus I, $G'(x) = f(x) \forall x \in (a, b)$.
- \cdot Then by the Mean Value Theorem, there exists a constant c such that

$$F(x) = G(x) + c \ \forall \ x \in [a, b]$$

$$\cdot \text{ In particular,} \quad F(b) - F(a) = (G(b) + c) - (G(a) + c)$$

$$= G(b) - G(a)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{b} f(t) \ dt - \int_{0}^{a} f(t) \ dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} f(t) \ dt$$

1.3. Change of Variables Theorem. Assume that g(x) is continuously differentiable on [a, b] and that f(x) is continuous on g([a, b]). Then $\int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} f(u) \, du = \int_{a}^{b} f(g(x))g'(x) \, dx$. \therefore Let $F(t) = \int_{a}^{t} f(u) \, du$.

- · Let $F(t) = \int_{g(a)}^{t} f(u) \, du$.
- · Then F(u) is differentiable and defined on the interior of g([a, b]) and is continuous on g([a, b]) with F'(t) = f(t) by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus I.
- · Let H(x) = F(g(x)).
- · Then by the chain rule, H'(x) = F'(g(x))g'(x) on (a, b)

$$= f(g(x))g'(x)$$

 \cdot Then by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus I,

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(g(x))g'(x) \, dx = H(b) - H(a)$$

= $F(g(b)) - F(g(a))$
= $\int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} f(u) \, du - \int_{g(a)}^{g(a)} f(u) \, du$
= $\int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} f(u) \, du$

1.4. Comparison Test for Series. Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ be two positive series satisfying $0 \le a_n \le b_n$.

Then

· Let $S_k := \sum_{n=1}^k a_n$ and $T_k := \sum_{n=1}^k b_n$ be the *k*-th partial sums of the two series. Let $T := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$. Since T_k is pendegregoing, we have that $T_k < T \forall k$.

• Since T_k is nondecreasing, we have that $T_k \leq T \ \forall k$.

• Hence
$$S_k = \sum_{n=1}^{k} a_n \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{k} b_n = T_k \leqslant T \ \forall k$$

- Hence $\{S_k\}$ is bounded above by T.
- · Since $\{S_k\}$ is nondecreasing, $\{S_k\}$ converges by the Monotone Convergence Theorem.
- · Part 2. is simply the contrapositive of the above and follows immediately.

1.5. Limit Comparison Test for Series. Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be positive sequences with $b_k \neq 0 \forall k$. Assume that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right| = L$ where $L \in [0,\infty)$ or $L = \infty$. Then: **1.** If $L \in (0, \infty)$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ converges. **2.** If L = 0 and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ converges, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ also converges. **3.** If $L = \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ also converges. Proof for 1: $\overline{\cdot}$ Assume that $L \in (0, \infty)$. · Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right| = L$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \ge N$, then $\left|\frac{a_n}{b_n} - L\right| < \frac{L}{2}$ $-\frac{L}{2} < \frac{a_n}{b_n} - L < \frac{L}{2}$ $\frac{L}{2} < \frac{a_n}{b_n} < \frac{3L}{2}$ $\frac{L}{2} \cdot b_n < a_n < \frac{3L}{2} \cdot b_n \quad \text{for all } n \ge \mathbb{N}$ · If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges because it is a tail of the former. • By the Comparison Test, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{L}{2} \cdot b_n\right]$ converges. • Since $L \neq 0$, we must have that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ converges. · Similarly, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ converges, so does $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left[\frac{3L}{2} \cdot b_n \right]$. • By the Comparison Test, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges, so $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges. Proof for 2: $\overline{\cdot \text{ If } L = 0, \text{ then there exists } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that for all } n \ge N,$ $0 \leq \frac{a_n}{b_n} \leq 1 \implies 0 \leq a_n \leq b_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq N$ · By the comparison test and by series properties, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ converges, then so does $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$. Proof for 3: $\overline{\cdot \text{ If } L = \infty}$, then there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge N$, $\frac{a_n}{b_n} > 1 \implies a_n > b_n > 0 \quad \text{for all } n \ge N$

• By the comparison test and by series properties, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges, then so does $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$.

1.6. Integral Test. Define $f(n) = a_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that f(x) is continuous on $[1, \infty)$, $f(x) \ge 0$ on $[1,\infty)$, and f(x) is decreasing on $[1,\infty)$. If $S_k = \sum_{n=1}^{k} a_n$ and $S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$, then

1.
$$\int_{1}^{k+1} f(t) dt \leq S_{k} \leq \int_{1}^{k} f(t) dt + a_{1} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

2.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \text{ converges if and only if } \int_{1}^{\infty} f(t) dt \text{ converges.}$$

3. If
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \text{ converges, then } 0 \leq S - S_{k} \leq \int_{k}^{\infty} f(t) dt$$

Proof for 1:

· Since f(x) is decreasing, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\int_{1}^{k+1} f(t) dt \leq U_1^{k+1}(f, P_k)$, where P_k is the regular k-partition on [1, k + 1]

• Then
$$U_1^{k+1}(f, P_k) = \sum_{n=1}^k f(n) = \sum_{n=1}^k a_n = S_k$$

- · SImilarly, we also have $\int_{1} f(x) dx \ge L_{1}^{k}(f, P_{k-1})$, where P_{k-1} is the regular (k-1)-partition on [1, k].
- Then $L_1^k(f, P_{k-1}) = \sum_{n=0}^{k} f(n) = \sum_{n=0}^{k} a_n = S_k a_1$ for all $k \ge 2$, but also for k = 1.
- · Combining, we have that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{1}^{k+1} f(x) \, dx \leqslant S_k \leqslant a_1 + \int_{1}^{k} f(x) \, dx$$

- $\frac{Proof for \ 2:}{\cdot \text{ Assume that }} \int_{1}^{\infty} f(t) \ dt \text{ converges.}$
- Then for each k, $S_k \leq \int_1^k f(t) dt + a_1 \leq \int_1^\infty f(t) dt + a_1$
- \cdot This implies that S_k is bounded and increasing
- · By the Monotone Convergence Theorem, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.
- Assume that $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(t) dt$ diverges. • Then $\left\{ \int_{1}^{k} f(t) dt \right\}$ is unbounded.
- This implies that $\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right\} = \{S_k\}$ is unbounded.
- Hence $\{S_k\}$ diverges to ∞ .

$$\frac{Proof \text{ for } 3:}{\cdot \text{ Assume that } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \text{ converges. Then}}$$

$$0 \leq S - S_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n - \sum_{n=1}^k a_n$$

$$= \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} a_n$$

$$= \lim_{j \to \infty} \left[\sum_{n=k+1}^j a_n \right] \text{ for all } j \geq k+1$$

$$\leq \lim_{j \to \infty} \left[\int_1^j f(t) \, dt \right]$$

$$\leq \int_1^{\infty} f(t) \, dt$$

1.7. Root Test. Let $0 < a_n$ for all n. Then

1. If $\limsup\{\sqrt[n]{a_n}\} = L < 1$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges. 2. If $\limsup\{\sqrt[n]{a_n}\} = L > 1$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges.

Proof for 1:

- \cdot Assume that L < 1.
- Then we can find $0 \leq L < r < 1$.
- · Moreover, there exists N_{\circ} such that if $n \ge N_{\circ}$, then $\sqrt[n]{a_n} < r \Longrightarrow a_n < r^n$.
- · This implies that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n$ converges

 \cdot Then the Comparison Test shows that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.

Proof for 2:

- Assume that $\limsup\{\sqrt[n]{a_n}\} = L > 1$.
- \cdot Then there exists 1 < s < L for some s.
- · Then there exists a subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}$ with $s < \sqrt[n]{a_{n_k}}$ for each k.
- But then $a_{n_k} \ge s^n > 1$ for all k.
- Hence $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n \neq 0$, and thus the series diverges by the Divergence Test.

1.8. **Ratio Test.** Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence with $a_n > 0 \forall n$ and let $L = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right|$. Then

1. If 0 < L < 1, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges. **2.** If L > 1, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges.

Proof for 1:

- Assume that 0 < L < 1.

• Then there exists L < r < 1 for some r. • Then there also exists $N_{\circ} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} < r$ for all $n \ge N_{\circ}$.

 \cdot This implies that

$$\frac{a_{N_{\circ}+1}}{a_{N_{\circ}}} < r \Longrightarrow a_{N_{\circ}+1} < a_{N_{\circ}}r$$

$$\frac{a_{N_{\circ}+2}}{a_{N_{\circ}+1}} < r \Longrightarrow a_{N_{\circ}+2} < a_{N_{\circ}+1}r < a_{N_{\circ}}r^{2}$$
.

 $a_{N_\circ+k} < a_{N_\circ} r^k$ This step comes from induction.

• Then since
$$0 < r < 1$$
, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{N_o} r^k$ converges.

• This implies that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{N_0+k}$ converges.

• This further implies that $\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n$ converges, as it is a tail of $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{No} + k$. \propto

• Hence
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$$
 converges.

Proof for 2:

 \cdot Assume that L > 1.

- \cdot Then we can find 1 < s < L for some s.
- We can also find an N_{\circ} such that if $n \ge N_{\circ}$, then $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} > s$.
- \cdot This implies that

$$\frac{a_{N_{\circ}+1}}{a_{N_{\circ}}} > s \Longrightarrow a_{N_{\circ}+1} > a_{N_{\circ}}s$$
$$\frac{a_{N_{\circ}+2}}{a_{N_{\circ}+1}} > s \Longrightarrow a_{N_{\circ}+2} > a_{N_{\circ}+1}s > a_{N_{\circ}}s^{2}$$
$$\cdot$$

 $a_{N_{\circ}+k} > a_{N_{\circ}}s^{k}$ This step comes from induction.

- · Since s > 1, $\lim_{k \to \infty} \left[s^k a_{N_{\circ}} \right] = \infty$.
- · Hence by the Comparison Theorem for Sequences, $\lim_{k \to \infty} [a_{N_{\circ}+k}] = \infty$.
- This implies that $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty \neq 0$, and so by the divergence test $\sum a_n$ diverges.

1.9. Alternating Series Test. Assume that $\{a_n\}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$1. a_n \ge 0$$

$$2. a_{n+1} \le a_n$$

$$3. \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$$

$$Then \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} a_n \text{ converges.}$$
Moreover, if $S_k = \sum_{n=1}^k (-1)^{n-1} a_n$ and $S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} a_n$, then $|S - S_k| \le a_{k+1}$

 \cdot First observe that

$$S_{2(k+1)-1} - S_{2k-1} = S_{2k+1} - S_{2k-1}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{2k+1} (-1)^{n-1} a_n - \sum_{n=1}^{2k-1} (-1)^{n-1} a_n$$

$$= (-1)^{2k-1} a_{2k} + (-1)^{(2k+1)-1} a_{2k+1}$$

$$= -a_{2k} + a_{2k+1}$$

$$\leq 0$$

 \cdot This shows that $\{S_{2k-1}\}$ is decreasing. Similarly,

$$S_{2(k+1)} - S_{2k} = S_{2k+2} - S_{2k}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{2k+2} (-1)^{n-1} a_n - \sum_{n=1}^{2k} (-1)^{n-1} a_n$$

$$= (-1)^{(2k+1)-1} a_{2k+1} + (-1)^{(2k+2)-1} a_{2k+2}$$

$$= a_{2k+1} - a_{2k+2}$$

$$\geqslant 0$$

 \cdot This shows that $\{S_{2k}\}$ is increasing. Now observe that

$$S_{2k-1} = (a_1 - a_2) + (a_3 - a_4) + \dots + (a_{2k-3} - a_{2k-2}) + a_{2k-1}$$

$$\geqslant 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 + a_{2k-1}$$

$$\geqslant 0$$

· Hence $\{S_{2k-1}\}$ is bounded below by 0. Similarly,

$$S_{2k} = a_1 - (a_2 - a_3) - (a_4 - a_5) - \dots - (a_{2k-2} - a_{2k-1}) - a_{2k}$$

$$\leqslant a_1 - 0 - 0 - \dots - 0 - a_{2k}$$

$$\leqslant a_1$$

· Hence $\{S_{2k}\}$ is bounded above by a_1 .

· By the Monotone Convergence Theorem, $\lim_{k \to \infty} S_{2k-1} = L \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lim_{k \to \infty} S_{2k} = M \in \mathbb{R}$.

- \cdot Now let $\epsilon > 0$.
- We can choose a K so that we have $|a_{2K}| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}, |L S_{2K-1}| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$, and $|S_{2K} M| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$.
- \cdot Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L - M| &\leq |L - S_{2K-1}| + |S_{2K-1} - S_{2K}| + |S_{2K} - M| \\ &= |L - S_{2K-1}| + |(-1)^{2K-1}a_{2K}| + |S_{2K} - M| \\ &= |L - S_{2K-1}| + |a_{2K}| + |S_{2K} - M| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

• This shows that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} a_n := \lim_{k \to \infty} S_k = S$, where S := L = M.

· Finally since S_{2k} is bounded above by S and S_{2k-1} is bounded below by S,

$$S_{2k} \leqslant S \leqslant S_{2k-2}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

 \cdot Therefore we have that

$$|S_k - S| \leq |S_k - S_{k+1}| = |(-1)^k a_{k+1}| = a_{k+1}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

1.10. Weierstrass M-test. Suppose that $\{f_n\} \subset C[a, b]$ and that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||f_n||_{\infty}$ is convergent.

Define $S_k : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by $S_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^k f_n(x)$ for $x \in [a, b]$.

Then $\{S_k\}$ converges uniformly on [a, b] to some $f_o \in C[a, b]$ such that $f_o(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$.

- · Define $T_k = \sum_{n=1}^k ||f_n||_{\infty}$ and $T = \sum_{n=1}^\infty ||f_n||_{\infty}$.
- \cdot By the assumptions, $T_k \to T$ as $k \to \infty,$ so T_k is Cauchy.
- $\cdot \ {\rm Let} \ \epsilon > 0.$

• Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $k > j \ge N$, then $T_k - T_j = \sum_{n=j+1}^{k} ||f_n||_{\infty} < \epsilon$.

 \cdot Then for all k,j satisfying $k>j \geqslant N,$ for all $x \in [a,b]$ we have

$$S_{k}(x) - S_{j}(x)| = \left| \sum_{\substack{n=j+1 \\ n=j+1}}^{k} f_{n}(x) \right|$$
$$\leq \sum_{\substack{n=j+1 \\ n=j+1}}^{k} |f_{n}(x)||_{\infty}$$
$$< \epsilon$$

- · Hence $||S_k(x) S_j(x)||_{\infty} < \epsilon$ for all k, j satisfying $k > j \ge N$,
- Hence $\{S_k\}$ is Cauchy in $(C[a, b], d_{\infty})$.
- · Since C[a, b] is complete, i.e. it is a Banach space, $\{S_k\}$ converges uniformly to $f_{\circ} \in C[a, b]$.

1.11. Banach Contractive Mapping theorem. Suppose that $\Gamma : C[a, b] \to C[a, b]$ is a contractive map. That is, suppose that Γ is such that there exists k satisfying $0 \leq k < 1$ with

$$||\Gamma(u) - \Gamma(v)||_{\infty} \leq k||u - v||_{\infty}$$

for all $u, v \in C[a, b]$. Then there exists a unique function $f \in C[a, b]$ such that $\Gamma(f) = f$.

- · Let $f_{\circ} \in C[a, b]$.
- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $f_n := \Gamma(f_{n-1})$.
- · Set $g_n := f_{n+1} f_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ||g_1||_{\infty} &= ||f_2 - f_1||_{\infty} = ||\Gamma(f_1) - \Gamma(f_0)||_{\infty} \leqslant k ||f_1 - f_0||_{\infty} = k^1 ||g_0||_{\infty} \\ ||g_2||_{\infty} &= ||f_3 - f_2||_{\infty} = ||\Gamma(f_2) - \Gamma(f_1)||_{\infty} \leqslant k ||f_2 - f_1||_{\infty} \leqslant k^2 ||g_0||_{\infty} \\ ||g_3||_{\infty} &= ||f_4 - f_3||_{\infty} = ||\Gamma(f_3) - \Gamma(f_2)||_{\infty} \leqslant k ||f_3 - f_2||_{\infty} \leqslant k^3 ||g_0||_{\infty} \end{aligned}$$

$$||g_n||_{\infty} = ||f_{n+1} - f_n||_{\infty} = ||\Gamma(f_n) - \Gamma(f_{n-1})||_{\infty} \leq k||f_n - f_{n-1}||_{\infty} \leq k^n ||g_0||_{\infty}$$

:

- By induction we see that $||g_n||_{\infty} \leq k^n ||g_0||_{\infty}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- · Since $0 \le k < 1$, by the geometric series test, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k^n ||g_0||_{\infty} = ||g_0||_{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^n$ converges.
- · Then by the comparison test $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||g_n||_{\infty}$ converges. · Then by the Weierstrass M-test, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n$ converges uniformly to some $g \in C[a, b]$.
- \cdot Note that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m} g_n = \sum_{n=0}^{m} f_{n+1} - f_n = (f_1 - f_0) + (f_2 - f_1) + \dots + (f_{m+1} - f_m) = f_{m+1} - f_0$$

- Hence $f_{m+1} f_0 \to g$ as $m \to \infty$ in d_{∞} , or $f_{m+1} \to g + f_0$.
- Let $f = \lim_{m \to \infty} f_m = g + f_0$.
- · <u>Claim</u>: $\Gamma(f) = f$. Observe that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$0 \leq ||f_n - \Gamma(f)||_{\infty} = ||\Gamma(f_{n-1}) - \Gamma(f)||_{\infty} \leq k||f_{n-1} - f||_{\infty}$$

- · Since $||f_{n-1} f||_{\infty} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, by the squeeze theorem $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||f_n \Gamma(f)||_{\infty} = 0$.
- Hence $f_n \to \Gamma(f)$ as $n \to \infty$.
- · From above, $f_n \to f$ as $n \to \infty$, and since limits are unique, $\Gamma(f) = f$.
- · <u>Claim</u>: f is the only function that satisfies $\Gamma(f) = f$.
- · Suppose that $h \in C[a, b]$ satisfies $\Gamma(h) = h$. Then

$$0 \leq ||h - f||_{\infty} = ||\Gamma(h) - \Gamma(f)||_{\infty} \leq k||h - f||_{\infty}$$

- · Since $0 \leq k < 1$, we have $0 \leq (1-k)||h-f||_{\infty} \leq 0$.
- Then $||h f||_{\infty} = 0$, and h = f.

2. Function Characteristics I

2.1. Continuity implies integrability. If f(x) is continuous on [a,b], then f(x) is integrable on [a,b].

- \cdot Let $\epsilon > 0$.
- · Since f(x) is uniformly continuous on [a, b], we can find $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x y| < \delta$ with $\begin{array}{l} x, y \in [a, b], \text{ then } |f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}.\\ \cdot \text{ Let } P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\} \text{ be a partition with } \|P\| < \epsilon, \text{ so } \Delta x_i < \delta \ \forall i. \end{array}$
- Let $M_i = \max\{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\}$ $m_i = \min\{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\}$
- By the Extreme Value Theorem, there exist $c_i, d_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ with $f(c_i) = m_i$ and $f(d_i) = M_i$. • But $|c_i - d_i| \leq ||P|| < \delta$, which implies that $M_i - m_i = f(d_i) - f(c_i) < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}$.

$$\text{Now } U(f, P) - L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i \Delta x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \Delta x_i$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - m_i) \Delta x_i$$
$$< \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\epsilon}{b-a} \Delta x_i$$
$$= \frac{\epsilon}{b-a} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta x_i$$
$$= \epsilon$$

2.2. Bounded conditional integrability. A bounded function f(x) is integrable on [a, b] if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a partition P of [a, b] such that $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon$.

- Assume that f(x) is integrable.
- · Let $\epsilon > 0$.
- We can find partitions P_1, P_2 such that

$$\underline{\int_{\underline{a}}}^{b} f(x) \, dx - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < L(f, P_1) \leqslant U(f, P_2) < \overline{\int_{\underline{a}}}^{b} f(x) \, dx$$

- · Let $Q = P_1 \cup P_2$.
- \cdot Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx - \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$< L(f, P_{1}) \leqslant L(f, Q) \leqslant U(f, Q) \leqslant U(f, P_{2})$$

$$< \overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f(x) dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

- This implies that $U(f,Q) L(f,Q) < \epsilon$.
- · Now assume that for each $\epsilon > 0$ we can find P with $U(f, P) L(f, P) < \epsilon$.

- · Let $\epsilon > 0$.
- \cdot Choose P as above, then

$$L(f,P) \leqslant \underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f(x) \ dx \leqslant \overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f(x) \ dx \leqslant U(f,P)$$

 \cdot This implies that

$$\left|\overline{\int_{a}^{b}}f(x) \, dx - \underline{\int_{a}^{b}}f(x) \, dx\right| \leq U(f,P) - L(f,P) < \epsilon$$

· Since ϵ is arbitrary, we have $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f(x) dx$.

• Therefore f(x) is integrable on [a, b].

2.3. Absolute convergence implies convergence. If a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges absolutely, then

is converges.

· Assume that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$ converges. · Let $T_k = \sum_{n=1}^k |a_n|$. Note that T_k is Cauchy. · Let $S_k = \sum_{n=1}^{k} a_n$. We claim that S_k is Cauchy.

$$\cdot$$
 Let $\epsilon > 0$.

 \cdot We can find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $N \leqslant k < j,$ then

$$|T_j - T_k| = \sum_{n=k+1}^j |a_n| < \epsilon$$

· Let $N \leq k < j$. Then

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{j} a_n - \sum_{n=1}^{k} a_n\right| \leqslant \sum_{n=k+1}^{j} |a_n| = T_j - T_k < \epsilon$$

- \cdot This implies that $\{S_k\}$ is Cauchy.
- · This implies that $\{S_k\}$ converges.

3. Function Characteristics II

3.1. Power series radius of convergence. If a power series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ converges at $x_o \neq 0$, then it also converges absolutely at any x_1 with $0 \leq |x_1| < |x_o|$.

• Since
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_{\circ}^n$$
 converges, $\lim_{n \to \infty} [a_n x_{\circ}^n] = 0$

- · In particular, there exists an M such that $|a_n x_{\circ}^n| \leq M$ for all n.
- Suppose that $|x_1| < |x_\circ|$.

$$\text{Hence } |a_n x_1^n| = |a_n| \cdot \left| \frac{x_1}{x_\circ} \right|^n \cdot |x_\circ|^n \\ \leqslant M \left| \frac{x_1}{x_\circ} \right|^n$$

· By the geometric series test and the comparison test, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n x_1^n|$ converges.

3.2. Power series uniform convergence. Suppose $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ has radius of convergence R > 0. Let $0 \leq x_1 < R$. Let $f_k(x) = \sum_{n=0}^k a_n x^n$. Then $\{f_k\}$ converges uniformly on $[-x_1, x_1]$ to $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$. \cdot Since $0 \leq x_1 < R$, the sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x_1^n$ converges absolutely. \cdot Let $\epsilon > 0$. \cdot Define $T_k := \sum_{n=0}^k |a_n x_1^n|$.

• Since $\{T_k\}$ is Cauchy, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $m > j \ge N$, then $T_m - T_j = \sum_{n=j+1}^m |a_n x_1^n| < \epsilon$.

· Let $x \in [-x_1, x_1]$. Then

$$|f_m(x) - f_j(x)| = \left| \sum_{\substack{n=j+1 \ n=j+1}}^m a_n x^n \right|$$
$$\leqslant \sum_{\substack{n=j+1 \ n=j+1}}^m |a_n x^n|$$
$$\leqslant \sum_{\substack{n=j+1 \ n=j+1}}^m |a_n x^n_1|$$
$$\leqslant \epsilon$$

- Hence $||f_m f_j||_{\infty} < \epsilon$.
- · Hence $\{f_k\}$ is Cauchy on $(C[-x_1, x_1], || \cdot ||_{\infty})$.
- · Hence $\{f_k\}$ converges uniformly on $[-x_1, x_1]$.

3.3. Uniform convergence and differentiation. Suppose $\{F_n\} \subset C[a, b]$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} [F_n(a)] = a_0$. If $\{F_n\}$ has continuous derivatives $F'_n(x) = f_n(x)$, such that $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly on [a, b] to $g(x) \in C[a, b]$, then $\{F_n\}$ converges uniformly to a continuous function $G \in C[a, b]$ such that G'(x) = g(x) for all $x \in (a, b)$.

• For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in [a, b]$, FTCII states that $F_n(x) = \int_a^x f_n(t) dt + F_n(a)$. • For each $x \in [a, b]$, define $G : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ by $G(x) = \int_a^x g(t) dt + a_0$.

- \cdot Let $\epsilon > 0$.
- · Then there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \ge N$, then $||f_n g||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$ and $|F_n(a) a_\circ| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.
- Hence for $n \ge N$ and $x \in [a, b]$:

$$\begin{aligned} |F_n(x) - G(x)| &= \left| \left(\int_a^x f_n(t) \, dt + F_n(a) \right) - \left(\int_a^x g(t) \, dt + a_o \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_a^x \left(f_n(t) - g(t) \right) \, dt + \left(F_n(a) - a_o \right) \right| \\ &\leqslant \left| \int_a^x \left(f_n(t) - g(t) \right) \, dt \right| + |F_n(a) - a_o| \\ &\leqslant \int_a^x ||f_n(t) - g(t)||_\infty \, dt + |F_n(a) - a_o| \\ &= (x - a)||f_n - g||_\infty + |F_n(a) - a_o| \\ &\leqslant (b - a)||f_n - g||_\infty + |F_n(a) - a_o| \\ &\leqslant (b - a) \frac{\epsilon}{2(b - a)} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

- · Hence $||F_n G||_{\infty} < \epsilon$ for all $n \ge N$.
- · Hence $\{F_n\} \to G$ as $n \to \infty$ with respect to d_{∞} .
- · So by FTCI, G(x) is differentiable on (a, b) with G'(x) = g(x) for each $x \in (a, b)$.

3.4. Uniform continuous convergence. If $\{f_n\} \subset C[a, b]$ converges uniformly on S to f(x), and if each f_n is continuous at x_{\circ} relative to S, then f(x) is continuous at x_{\circ} relative to S.

- · Let $\epsilon > 0$.
- Then there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \ge N$, then $|f(x) f_n(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$.
- · Since $f_N(x)$ is continuous at x_\circ relative to S, we can find $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x x_\circ| < \delta$ and $x \in S$, then $|f_N(x) f_N(x_\circ)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$.
- · Let $|x x_{\circ}| < \delta$ for $x \in S$. Then

$$|f(x) - f(x_{\circ})| = |f(x) - f_{N}(x) + f_{N}(x) - f_{N}(x_{\circ}) + f_{N}(x_{\circ}) - f(x_{\circ})|$$

$$\leq |f(x) - f_{N}(x)| + |f_{N}(x) - f_{N}(x_{\circ})| + |f_{N}(x_{\circ}) - f(x_{\circ})|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

$$= \epsilon$$